



Article Effects of Adding Extracorporeal Shockwave Therapy (ESWT) to Platelet-Rich Plasma (PRP) among Patients with Rotator Cuff Partial Tear: A Prospective Randomized Comparative Study

Shu-Jui Kuo ^{1,2}, Yu-Hsiang Su ³, Shih-Chan Hsu ¹, Po-Hua Huang ⁴, Chia-Chun Hsia ³, Chin-Yi Liao ⁴, Sung-Hsiung Chen ⁴, Re-Wen Wu ⁴, Chieh-Cheng Hsu ⁴, Yen-Chun Lai ⁵, De-Yi Liu ¹, Nien-En Ku ¹, Jui-Feng Chen ¹ and Jih-Yang Ko ^{4,6,*}

- ¹ School of Medicine, China Medical University, Taichung 404328, Taiwan; b90401073@gmail.com (S.-J.K.); jamieee0424@gmail.com (S.-C.H.); deyil845@gmail.com (D.-Y.L.); nicheerrr1007@gmail.com (N.-E.K.); dillon0501@gmail.com (J.-F.C.)
- ² Department of Orthopedic Surgery, China Medical University Hospital, Taichung 404327, Taiwan
- ³ Department of Education, China Medical University Hospital, Taichung 404327, Taiwan; johnny210998@gmail.com (Y.-H.S.); jimmyhsia0422@gmail.com (C.-C.H.)
- ⁴ Department of Orthopedic Surgery, College of Medicine, Chang Gung University, Kaohsiung Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Kaohsiung 833401, Taiwan; 881106@cgmh.org.tw (P.-H.H.); nanaya@cgmh.org.tw (C.-Y.L.); chensh@cgmh.org.tw (S.-H.C.); ray4595@cgmh.org.tw (R.-W.W.); t1234@cgmh.org.tw (C.-C.H.)
- ⁵ School of Medicine, National Taiwan University, Taipei 100233, Taiwan; lyc20020130@gmail.com
- ⁶ Center for Shockwave Medicine and Tissue Engineering, College of Medicine, Chang Gung University, Kaohsiung Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Kaohsiung 833401, Taiwan
- Correspondence: kojy@cgmh.org.com; Tel.: +886-7-731-7123

Abstract: A rotator cuff tear is a prevalent ailment affecting the shoulder joint. The clinical efficacy of combined therapy remains uncertain for partial rotator cuff tears. In this study, we integrated extracorporeal shockwave therapy (ESWT) with platelet-rich plasma (PRP) injection, juxtaposed with PRP in isolation. Both cohorts exhibited significant improvements in visual analogue scale (VAS), Constant–Murley score (CMS), degrees of forward flexion, abduction, internal rotation, and external rotation, and the sum of range of motion (SROM) over the six-month assessment period. The application of ESWT in conjunction with PRP exhibited notable additional enhancements in both forward flexion (p = 0.033) and abduction (p = 0.015) after one month. Furthermore, a substantial augmentation in the range of shoulder motion (SROM) (p < 0.001) was observed after six months. We employed isobaric tag for relative and absolute quantitation (iTRAQ) to analyze the differential plasma protein expression in serum samples procured from the two groups after one month. The concentrations of S100A8 (p = 0.042) and S100A9 (p = 0.034), known to modulate local inflammation, were both lower in the ESWT + PRP cohort. These findings not only underscore the advantages of combined therapy but also illuminate the associated molecular changes.

Keywords: rotator cuff tendinopathy; extracorporeal shock wave therapy (ESWT); platelet-rich plasma (PRP); protein S100A8; protein S100A9

1. Introduction

Rotator cuff (RC) tendinopathy is a prevailing orthopedic ailment, frequently causing discomfort [1–3]. The incidence of RC tendinopathy rises with age, with more than half of the population experiencing a lesion by the time they are 60 years old [4]. Older age, male sex, smoking, diabetes, hypertension, and a higher critical shoulder angle were found to be risk factors for RC tears [5].

In comparison to complete tears, the frequency of partial RC tears is reported to be higher, and the majority of complete tears are attributed to partial tears [6]. The prevalence



Citation: Kuo, S.-J.; Su, Y.-H.; Hsu, S.-C.; Huang, P.-H.; Hsia, C.-C.; Liao, C.-Y.; Chen, S.-H.; Wu, R.-W.; Hsu, C.-C.; Lai, Y.-C.; et al. Effects of Adding Extracorporeal Shockwave Therapy (ESWT) to Platelet-Rich Plasma (PRP) among Patients with Rotator Cuff Partial Tear: A Prospective Randomized Comparative Study. *J. Pers. Med.* **2024**, *14*, 83. https://doi.org/10.3390/ jpm14010083

Academic Editors: Günther Maderbacher and Davide Bizzoca

Received: 5 November 2023 Revised: 20 December 2023 Accepted: 8 January 2024 Published: 10 January 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). of partial RC tears is substantial. In the general population, partial RC tear ranges from 15% to 32%, and in the dominant shoulder of professional overhead athletes, it can increase to 40% [7]. Partial RC tears are potentially more painful than full-thickness tears, possibly due to the nonphysiological tension created within the remaining intact RC fibers [8]. Conservative modalities predominate in the realm of RC tendinopathy management, notably in the absence of full tears. Injecting platelet-rich plasma (PRP) and using extracorporeal shockwave therapy (ESWT) have gained increasing popularity as treatment options [7]. ESWT, with its diverse mechanisms, partially unfolds its therapeutic potential through a phenomenon referred to as "regenerative rehabilitation". This involves physically stimulating damaged tissue, thereby amplifying regenerative processes and augmenting the efficacy of therapeutic procedures [9]. ESWT delivers rapidly rising positive pressure impulses ranging from 5 to 120 MPa in approximately 5 ns, followed by a decrease to negative pressure values of -20 MPa at the treatment site [10]. ESWT can induce hypervascularity in the ischemic rotator cuff tendon and may temporarily increase cell membrane permeability, enhancing the entry of treatment molecules into the cells [11]. These key advantages distinguish ESWT from other potential stimulation methods.

Our prior work revealed that ESWT intervention can yield notable enhancements in visual analogue scale (VAS) assessments, muscle power, Constant–Murley scores (CMS), and range of motion (ROM) within six months post treatment among individuals recovering from RC partial tear [12]. Platelet-rich plasma (PRP) injections exhibited superior early outcomes when juxtaposed with corticosteroid injections in patients presenting with RC partial tear [13].

In the current study, our objectives are focused on delineating the additional therapeutic benefit derived from the combination of ESWT with PRP injection therapy in contrast to the isolated PRP injection therapy. It is our supposition that the synergistic embrace of ESWT alongside injection treatment could potentially yield augmented benefits beyond those engendered by PRP injection therapy.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Recruitment of Participants

This investigation secured the approval of the Institutional Review Board of Chang Gung Medical Foundation (protocol code: 202000068B0, date of approval: 2020/02/13). The eligibility and exclusion criteria for our study are comprehensively summarized in Table 1.

Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
VAS score surpassing 3	Rheumatic diseases
Positive impingement sign	Glenohumeral osteoarthritis
Pain during Hawkins' test or empty can test	Full-thickness RC tear
MRI evidence of a supraspinatus lesion without a complete tear	Fractures
Pain and/or stiffness resistance to modifications in physical	
activity and/or therapeutic interventions under professional	Infections
therapists for at least 3 months	
Aged between 35 and 80 years	Neoplasms
	Pregnancy
	Subacromial injections within the preceding 3 weeks
	Not submitting valid written informed consent

Table 1. The inclusion and exclusion criteria for this study.

The determination of the minimum requisite sample size for the two groups was executed by using the G*Power 3.1.9.2 software (http://www.gpower.hhu.de/en.html (accessed on 1 January 2016)) before the recruitment of participants [14]. The a priori power analysis employed a two-tailed Wilcoxon signed-rank test and Mann–Whitney U

test (two groups) to deduce a sample size of no less than 27 for each group, based on a calculated effect size of 0.8, an α level of 0.05, a power of 80%, and an allocation ratio of 1.

The participants were randomized to receive either PRP injection combined with ESWT or PRP injection along with sham ESWT, involving simulated energy transmission, immediately prior to the intervention. The randomization was conducted using a computer-generated list, and the allocation was concealed within a series of numbered envelopes. The participants and the follow-up examiners were all kept unaware of the treatment assignment.

2.2. Imaging Diagnosis of Rotator Cuff (RC) Lesions

The diagnostic assessment of RC partial tear involved the agreement of both a musculoskeletal radiologist proficient in the interpretation of MRI for the shoulder joint and the corresponding author. Regarding the MRI, tendinosis was revealed by augmented intratendinous signal intensity on T2-weighted images without complete disruption. Partialthickness tearing manifested as a hyperintense fluid or fluid-like signal intensity extending into the tendon on T2-weighted images. Full-thickness tears were diagnosed if hyperintense fluid or fluid-like signal intensity permeated the complete thickness of the disrupted RC tendon on T2-weighted images.

2.3. Platelet-Rich Plasma (PRP) Injection

Details of the PRP injection therapy are provided herein. Ten milliliters of venous blood was extracted from each participant, and the extracted blood underwent processing using the RegenKit THT system (RegenLab SA, Le Mont-sur-Lausanne, Switzerland) [15]. The subacromial injections were administered by the corresponding author, a specialist with over two decades of experience in shoulder surgery. The injection procedure was effectuated by adopting a posterolateral approach, positioned approximately 1.5 finger's breadths below the posterolateral corner of the acromion, without the utilization of local anesthesia. The needle was adeptly maneuvered along the superior border of the rotator cuff into the subacromial space. If the needle tip came into contact with the undersurface of the acromion, slight withdrawal of the needle facilitated the smooth administration of the content.

2.4. Extracorporeal Shockwave (ESWT) Therapy

Subjects receiving genuine ESWT were exposed to the delivery of 3000 shockwave impulses calibrated at 24 kV (energy flux density = 0.32 mJ/mm²), during a single hour-long session one week after PRP injection [12]. These therapeutic shockwaves emanated from the OrthospecTM Extracorporeal Shockwave device (OrthospecTM, Medispec, Yehud, Israel). This therapeutic protocol was expertly overseen by a certified specialist in an outpatient setting. The shockwaves were carefully directed, with precise clinical focus on the rotator interval (one finger's breadth laterally and superiorly to the coracoid process) and the rotator cable (thick fibrous bundle transmitting applied forces to RC). The juxtaposition of the shockwave tube and the skin was facilitated by the application of surgical lubricant, while the usage of local anesthetics was decidedly eschewed. In contrast, subjects enrolled within the sham ESWT cohort underwent a simulated intervention. In the simulated endeavor, the device was employed without the silicone pad on the stand-off device. Although the audible manifestations of the shockwaves and a tactile tingling sensation were experienced by the participants, the actual energy transmission was altogether withheld. The entire therapeutic procedure was conducted under the vigilant monitoring of vital signs, and any potential sensations of discomfort were dutifully observed and documented. Post intervention, the treated regions underwent meticulous inspection to ascertain the presence of any local manifestations such as swelling, ecchymosis, or hematoma. Following the completion of the intervention, the baseline regimen encompassing activity modification and/or physiotherapy was judiciously sustained. As the aftereffects of the intervention unfolded, the participants were duly initiated into a regimen of gentle pendulum exercises and meticulously guided assisted shoulder movements, involving elevation, external rotation, and internal rotation.

Patients were advised to limit their analgesic intake to a daily dosage of 1000 mg acetaminophen and abstain from any anti-inflammatory agents after the intervention. In anticipation of each assessment, patients dutifully abstained from the use of pain medication for three days. In cases where enduring and severe shoulder discomfort or loss of function persisted, surgical intervention was recommended.

2.5. Clinical Assessments

The clinical parameters, which included the visual analogue scale (VAS), muscle power for shoulder abduction, Constant-Murley score (CMS), and range of motion (ROM) of the shoulder, were evaluated at 1 week (1 W), 1 month (1 M), 3 months (3 M), and 6 months (6 M) following ESWT or sham ESWT. The VAS serves as a pain measurement scale, where 0 indicates absence of pain and 10 signifies unbearable pain. Muscle power for shoulder abduction was evaluated by measuring the maximal isometric contraction of the abductor muscles. This assessment utilized a handheld Baseline 250 hydraulic push-pull dynamometer (Baseline Corporation, Irvington, NY, USA) with the shoulder positioned at 45° abduction, the elbow at 90° flexion, and the arm internally rotated without torso stabilization. The Constant–Murley score (CMS) is a standardized scale for evaluating shoulder function, with a maximum score of 100 denoting optimal shoulder function. This scoring system has been employed in numerous studies for evaluating shoulder-related outcomes [4,16–18]. Shoulder ROM was evaluated with the patient seated. A goniometer was utilized to measure the extent to which the patient could passively forward flex or abduct the shoulder. External rotation and internal rotation of the shoulders were assessed with the patient's arm in a resting position and at a 45° flexion position, respectively. The sum of range of motion (SROM) was calculated by summing the measured ROM values. The minimal clinically important difference (MCID) for VAS and CMS was set at 2 and 10, respectively [19]. The patient acceptable symptom state (PASS) for VAS and CMS was determined as 0.9 and 80, respectively [19].

2.6. Assessments of Isobaric Tag for Relative and Absolute Quantitation (iTRAQ)

Isobaric tag for relative and absolute quantitation (iTRAQ) constitutes a mass spectrometrybased proteomic quantification technique that employs the derivatization of primary amino groups within intact proteins, along with isobaric tags assigned to distinct peptide fragments [20–25]. The iTRAQ methodology affords the opportunity to simultaneously screen up to eight samples for differentially expressed proteins with exceptional sensitivity and specificity [26]. In this study, we harnessed the potency of iTRAQ to comprehensively scrutinize serum samples exhibiting disparate levels consequent to the assigned interventions. Employing the iTRAQ gel-free proteomics technology, we elucidated the intricate protein profiles inherent to the serum samples [27]. To abrogate any confounding signals originating from background proteins, we employed the Pierce Top 12 Abundant Protein Depletion Spin Columns (85165, Thermo) for the purpose of extracting high-abundance proteins from the serum samples. Subsequently, we prepared the serum samples by utilizing the iTRAQ Reagents Multiplex Kit (4352135, SCIEX), thereby ensuring that the peptides therein were appropriately labelled with tags of diverse molecular weights. These adorned samples were then analyzed by the LC/Q-Exactive Orbitrap MS (Thermo) for a duration of 24 h. The resultant raw data underwent further meticulous analysis by the Proteome Discoverer v2.4 (Thermo), with reference to the MASCOT 2.5 database (Matrix Science).

2.7. Statistical Analysis

For non-parametric within-group comparisons, the Friedman test was utilized for assessing repeated measurements of continuous variables, and the Wilcoxon signed-rank test was applied for post hoc analysis [14,28]. For parametric within-group comparisons, the repeated measures ANOVA test was employed for the assessment of repeated within-group measurements for continuous variables, and the Fisher's least significant difference (LSD) test was applied for post hoc analysis. To facilitate a nuanced inter-group comparison,

the chi-square and Mann–Whitney U (non-parametric)/Student's t (parametric) tests were appropriately employed for categorical and continuous variables, respectively.

3. Results

There were 28 and 27 patients in the PRP + ESWT and PRP groups, respectively. The randomization process is illustrated in Figure 1. The demographic profiles are shown in Table 2.



Figure 1. The flow chart for the randomization process.

Га	ble	2.	Baseline	charac	teristic	s for t	the	two	group	s.
----	-----	----	----------	--------	----------	---------	-----	-----	-------	----

	PRP + ESWT	PRP	<i>p</i> -Value
Male/female	8/20	13/14	0.135
Age	57.5 (53.0, 66.5)	57.0 (51.5, 64.5)	0.826
Left/right	11/17	11/16	0.912
Body mass index	24.2 (23.0, 26.8)	24.4 (22.7, 28.4)	0.976
Medical comorbidities ^a	10	14	0.228
VAS	5.5 (4.5,7.5)	6.0 (4.0, 7.0)	0.936
	5.46 ± 2.17	5.37 ± 2.34	
Muscle power (lb)	14.5 (12.0, 20.0)	16.0 (13.5, 20.5)	0.093
-	18.20 ± 9.45	21.10 ± 11.78	
CMS	61.5 (45.0, 69.5)	62.0 (53.0, 73.5)	0.412
	59.30 ± 14.20	62.69 ± 12.65	
Forward flexion (°)	145.0 (120.0, 160.0)	135.0 (120.0, 150.0)	0.435
	136.11 ± 26.94	133.70 ± 21.64	
Abduction (°)	122.5 (95.0, 160.0)	125.0 (107.5, 150.0)	0.968
	123.39 ± 32.35	124.63 ± 27.70	
IR (°)	45.0 (35.0, 56.3)	50.0 (45.0, 55.0)	0.162
	46.07 ± 19.64	52.41 ± 17.83	
ER (°)	57.5 (40.0, 76.3)	60.0 (47.5, 70.0)	0.711
	58.93 ± 21.32	61.11 ± 18.15	
SROM (°)	390.0 (288.8, 425.0)	385.0 (327.5, 425.0)	0.992
	365.36 ± 84.11	371.85 ± 67.30	

^a Diabetes, coronary artery disease, chronic kidney disease.

The intra-group alterations in visual analogue scale (VAS), muscle power of abduction, and the Constant–Murley score (CMS) over the course of this study are depicted in Figures 2–4. Similarly, the intra-group variations in the degrees (°) of forward flexion, abduction, internal rotation, external rotation, and the sum of range of motion (SROM) throughout the study duration are illustrated in Figures 5–9.



Figure 2. The alterations in visual analogue scale (VAS) over the course of this study. (**A**) PRP + ESWT group; (**B**) PRP group. The error bars in the figure indicate the median and the 25th and 75th percentiles of the data (* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, and *** p < 0.001).



Figure 3. The alterations in muscle power of abduction over the course of this study. (**A**) PRP + ESWT group; (**B**) PRP group. The error bars in the figure indicate the median and the 25th and 75th percentiles of the data.



Figure 4. The alterations in Constant–Murley score (CMS) over the course of this study. (A) PRP + ESWT group; (B) PRP group. The error bars in the figure indicate the median and the 25th and 75th percentiles of the data (* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, and *** p < 0.001).



Figure 5. The alterations in the degrees of forward flexion over the course of this study. (A) PRP + ESWT group; (B) PRP group. The error bars in the figure indicate the median and the 25th and 75th percentiles of the data (* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, and *** p < 0.001).



Figure 6. The alterations in the degrees of abduction over the course of this study. (**A**) PRP + ESWT group; (**B**) PRP group. The error bars in the figure indicate the median and the 25th and 75th percentiles of the data (* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, and *** p < 0.001).



Figure 7. The alterations in the degrees of internal rotation over the course of this study. (A) PRP + ESWT group; (B) PRP group. The error bars in the figure indicate the median and the 25th and 75th percentiles of the data (* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, and *** p < 0.001).



Figure 8. The alterations in the degrees of external rotation over the course of this study. (A) PRP + ESWT group; (B) PRP group. The error bars in the figure indicate the median and the 25th and 75th percentiles of the data (* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, and *** p < 0.001).



Figure 9. The alterations in the degrees of the sum of range of motion (SROM) over the course of this study. (**A**) PRP + ESWT group; (**B**) PRP group. The error bars in the figure indicate the median and the 25th and 75th percentiles of the data (* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, and *** p < 0.001).

The baseline measurements of VAS, muscle power of abduction, CMS, and the degrees of forward flexion, abduction, internal rotation, external rotation, and the SROM were similar among the two groups. No additional benefits of ESWT were observed in terms of visual analogue scale (VAS), muscle power of abduction, and Constant–Murley score (CMS) throughout the study period from baseline to six months after intervention (Tables 3 and 4). The supplementary advantages of ESWT in conjunction with PRP injection became evident in forward flexion (p = 0.033) and abduction (p = 0.015) after one month of intervention, and in SROM (p < 0.001) after six months of intervention (Tables 5). The outcomes of inter-group comparisons are outlined in Tables 3–5.

Table 3. The visual analogue scale (VAS), muscle power of abduction, and Constant–Murley score (CMS) for subjects receiving the assigned interventions.

	PRP + ESWT	PRP	<i>p</i> -Value
Baseline profiles			
VAS	5.5 (4.5,7.5)	6.0 (4.0, 7.0)	0.936
Muscle power (lb)	5.46 ± 2.17 14 5 (12 0 20 0)	5.37 ± 2.34 16.0 (13.5, 20.5)	0.093
Wubele power (ib)	18.20 ± 9.45	21.10 ± 11.78	0.070
CMS	$\begin{array}{c} 61.5 \ (45.0, 69.5) \\ 59.30 \pm 14.20 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 62.0 \ (53.0, 73.5) \\ 62.69 \pm 12.65 \end{array}$	0.412

PRP + ESWT	PRP	<i>p</i> -Value
5.5 (5.0, 10.0)	5.0 (5.0, 10.0)	0.976
4.32 ± 2.44	4.26 ± 2.33	
15.0 (13.0, 20.4)	17.0 (14.0, 22.0)	0.234
18.88 ± 9.17	21.64 ± 11.96	
67.5 (57.0, 73.5)	69.0 (57.0, 78.5)	0.529
65.77 ± 12.06	67.22 ± 12.61	
3.5 (2.0, 6.0)	5.0 (2.0, 6.0)	0.787
3.89 ± 2.40	4.11 ± 2.52	
14.5 (14.0, 27.0)	16.0 (14.0, 23.0)	0.322
19.67 ± 9.42	21.55 ± 11.01	
69.5 (63.0, 81.5)	71.0 (59.0, 80.0)	0.697
71.29 ± 10.49	69.69 ± 11.37	
2.5 (2.0, 5.0)	4.0 (1.0, 6.0)	0.873
3.57 ± 2.53	3.48 ± 2.59	
14.5 (13.0, 26.0)	17.0 (14.0, 20.0)	0.313
19.26 ± 8.89	21.09 ± 11.33	
74.0 (67.3. 79.4)	74.0 (68.5, 80.5)	0.873
$\textbf{72.32} \pm \textbf{12.29}$	72.04 ± 13.70	
1.0 (0.5, 3.5)	2.0 (0.5, 3.0)	0.912
2.25 ± 2.53	2.04 ± 1.91	
15.0 (13.0, 26.7)	17.0 (13.5, 28/0)	0.352
19.68 ± 9.41	22.59 ± 12.65	
81.0 (71.0, 86.0)	80.0 (72.0, 87.3)	0.719
76.75 ± 14.27	79.33 ± 11.82	
	PRP + ESWT 5.5 (5.0, 10.0) 4.32 \pm 2.44 15.0 (13.0, 20.4) 18.88 \pm 9.17 67.5 (57.0, 73.5) 65.77 \pm 12.06 3.59 \pm 2.40 14.5 (14.0, 27.0) 19.67 \pm 9.42 69.5 (63.0, 81.5) 71.29 \pm 10.49 2.5 (2.0, 5.0) 3.57 \pm 2.53 14.5 (13.0, 26.0) 19.26 \pm 8.89 74.0 (67.3, 79.4) 72.32 \pm 12.29 1.0 (0.5, 3.5) 2.25 \pm 2.53 15.0 (13.0, 26.7) 19.68 \pm 9.41 81.0 (71.0, 86.0) 76.75 \pm 14.27	PRP + ESWTPRP $5.5 (5.0, 10.0)$ $5.0 (5.0, 10.0)$ 4.32 ± 2.44 4.26 ± 2.33 $15.0 (13.0, 20.4)$ $17.0 (14.0, 22.0)$ 18.88 ± 9.17 21.64 ± 11.96 $67.5 (57.0, 73.5)$ $69.0 (57.0, 78.5)$ 65.77 ± 12.06 67.22 ± 12.61 $3.5 (2.0, 6.0)$ $5.0 (2.0, 6.0)$ 3.89 ± 2.40 4.11 ± 2.52 $14.5 (14.0, 27.0)$ $16.0 (14.0, 23.0)$ 19.67 ± 9.42 21.55 ± 11.01 $69.5 (63.0, 81.5)$ $71.0 (59.0, 80.0)$ 71.29 ± 10.49 69.69 ± 11.37 $2.5 (2.0, 5.0)$ $4.0 (1.0, 6.0)$ 3.57 ± 2.53 3.48 ± 2.59 $14.5 (13.0, 26.0)$ $17.0 (14.0, 20.0)$ 19.26 ± 8.89 21.09 ± 11.33 $74.0 (67.3, 79.4)$ $74.0 (68.5, 80.5)$ 72.32 ± 12.29 72.04 ± 13.70 $1.0 (0.5, 3.5)$ $2.0 (0.5, 3.0)$ 2.25 ± 2.53 2.04 ± 1.91 $15.0 (13.0, 26.7)$ $17.0 (13.5, 28/0)$ 19.68 ± 9.41 22.59 ± 12.65 $81.0 (71.0, 86.0)$ $80.0 (72.0, 87.3)$ 76.75 ± 14.27 79.33 ± 11.82

Table 3. Cont.

VAS: visual analogue scale; CMS: Constant–Murley score.

Table 4. The number of participants achieving the minimal clinically important difference (MCID) (\geq 2 for VAS and \geq 10 for CMS) and patient acceptable symptom state (PASS) (\geq 0.9 for VAS and \geq 80 for CMS).

	MCID		PAS	S
	PRP + ESWT $N = 28$	PRP N = 27	PRP + ESWT $N = 28$	PRP N = 27
1 week				
VAS	9	10	0	3
CMS	8	4	3	4
1 month				
VAS	14	9	0	4
CMS	17	8	8	7
3 months				
VAS	16	17	1	4
CMS	13	15	7	9
6 months				
VAS	19	21	8	7
CMS	20	22	15	15

	PRP + ESWT	PRP	<i>p</i> -Value
Baseline profiles			
Forward flexion (°)	145.0 (120.0, 160.0)	135.0 (120.0, 150.0)	0.435
	136.11 ± 26.94	133.70 ± 21.64	
Abduction (°)	122.5 (95.0, 160.0)	125.0 (107.5, 150.0)	0.968
	123.39 ± 32.35	124.63 ± 27.70	
IR (°)	45.0 (35.0, 56.3)	50.0 (45.0, 55.0)	0.162
	46.07 ± 19.64	52.41 ± 17.83	
ER (°)	57.5 (40.0, 76.3)	60.0 (47.5, 70.0)	0.711
	58.93 ± 21.32	61.11 ± 18.15	
SROM (°)	390.0 (288.8, 425.0)	385.0 (327.5, 425.0)	0.992
	365.36 ± 84.11	371.85 ± 67.30	
One week			
Forward flexion ($^{\circ}$)	150.0 (122.5, 160.0)	140.0 (125.0, 150.0)	0.401
	142.41 ± 23.18	138.70 ± 21.82	
Abduction (°)	135.0 (96.3, 158.8)	125.0 (100.0, 150.0)	0.497
	130.89 ± 29.91	125.74 ± 26.70	
IR (°)	50.0 (35.0, 60.0)	50.0 (45.0, 62.5)	0.478
	52.68 ± 18.83	55.19 ± 14.84	
ER (°)	60.0 (45.0, 75.0)	65.0 (51.5, 75.0)	0.509
	63.57 ± 18.05	66.11 ± 15.46	
SROM (°)	405.0 (330.0, 430.0)	385.0 (337.5, 427.5)	0.728
	390.00 ± 69.34	385.74 ± 59.53	
One month			
Forward flexion ($^{\circ}$)	160.0 (136.3, 165.0)	150.0 (130.0, 160.0)	0.033
	153.70 ± 15.79	142.22 ± 21.05	
Abduction (°)	155.0 (140.0, 160.0)	125.0 (110.0, 150.0)	0.015
	144.82 ± 24.40	129.44 ± 22.80	
IR (°)	60.0 (46.3, 70.0)	60.0 (50.0, 75.0)	0.787
	60.36 ± 15.15	61.48 ± 18.12	
$ER(^{\circ})$	65.0 (56.3, 78.8)	65.0 (57.5, 80.0)	0.928
	66.79 ± 14.42	67.59 ± 15.95	
SROM (°)	435.0 (411.3, 470.0)	405.0 (362.5, 452.5)	0.089
	425.89 ± 52.49	400.74 ± 60.30	
Three months			
Forward flexion ($^{\circ}$)	160.0 (150.0, 170.0)	155.0 (142.5, 165.0)	0.267
	156.67 ± 15.32	150.74 ± 20.37	
Abduction (°)	155.0 (138.8, 166.3)	140.0 (120.0, 160.0)	0.091
	149.46 ± 20.74	139.26 ± 22.86	
$IR(^{\circ})$	65.0 (53.8, 85.0)	70.0 (52.5, 80.0)	0.711
	67.50 ± 16.36	65.00 ± 19.46	
ER (°)	70.0 (60.0, 80.0)	70.0 (62.5, 85.0)	0.719
	69.29 ± 15.68	69.63 ± 18.96	
SROM (°)	450.0 (427.5, 475.0)	435.0 (412.5, 472.5)	0.610
	443.39 ± 47.86	424.63 ± 68.65	

Table 5. The range of motion (ROM) and the sum of range of motion (SROM) for the subjects receiving the assigned interventions.

	PRP + ESWT	PRP	<i>p</i> -Value
Six months			
Forward flexion ($^{\circ}$)	165.0 (150.0, 175.0)	165.0 (152.5, 170.0)	0.728
	158.89 ± 19.28	159.63 ± 14.87	
Abduction (°)	155.0 (145.0, 170.0)	155.0 (137.5, 165.0)	0.596
	152.14 ± 22.09	148.89 ± 21.94	
IR (°)	75.0 (62.5, 90.0)	70.0 (60.0, 85.0)	0.610
	71.43 ± 19.19	69.63 ± 17.92	
ER (°)	80.0 (70.0, 87.5)	80.0 (67.5, 90.0)	0.897
	73.21 ± 19.40	74.81 ± 16.61	
SROM (°)	475.0 (450.0, 497.5)	465.0 (412.5, 495.0)	< 0.001
	455.36 ± 68.66	452.96 ± 54.07	

Table 5. Cont.

IR: internal rotation; ER: external rotation; SROM: sum of range of motion.

The iTRAQ gel-free proteomic technology was employed to detect differential plasma protein levels across distinct serum samples. Samples were collected, including one from PRP and another from PRP + ESWT, 1 month after intervention. By applying identification parameters of a false determinate rate <0.01 for protein and peptide identification and requiring protein identification with at least one unique peptide, 688 proteins were assessed and quantified. Abundance quantification of these proteins was further analyzed by using Partek [25]. With parameters set at *p*-value < 0.05 and variation >1.25, 15 proteins exhibited differential abundances between the two groups. Notably, several of these proteins were linked to inflammation. These findings suggest that PRP + ESWT may yield superior treatment efficacy compared to PRP alone, possibly by counteracting the inflammation-promoting effect of standalone PRP treatment.

Among the proteins displayed in Table 6, we are especially interested in S100A9. S100A8 and S100A9 are well-known inflammatory plasma proteins involved in the inflammatory disorders, including osteoarthritis [29,30]. The serum samples were procured from the participants within the two cohorts one month post intervention. Notably, the serum concentrations of S100A8 and S100A9 were markedly diminished among the patients in the PRP+ ESWT group (Table 7).

Protein	PRP + ESWT/PRP Ratio	<i>p</i> -Value
Apolipoprotein C4	0.866	< 0.001
Adhesion G protein-coupled receptor G6	0.741	0.013
YWHAE	0.842	0.013
Phosphatidylethanolamine-binding protein 4	0.786	0.014
Lactoferrin	0.767	0.021
CD5-like molecule	0.893	0.023
Fermitin family homolog 3	0.914	0.023
Mannan-binding lectin serine protease 1	0.948	0.024
H4 clustered histone 1	1.305	0.024
Paraoxonase 3	1.046	0.027
Apolipoprotein A2	1.047	0.028
Keratin 9	0.443	0.035
S100 calcium-binding protein A9	0.573	0.042
Intercellular adhesion molecule 2	1.110	0.043
Apolipoprotein H	0.972	0.045

Table 6. The proteins with differential abundances between the PRP + ESWT and PRP groups.

YWHAE: tyrosine 3-monooxygenase/tryptophan 5-monooxygenase activation protein epsilon.

	PRP + ESWT	PRP	<i>p</i> -Value	_
S100A8	12.53 (3.21, 15.77)	22.45 (10.33, 35.11)	0.042	
S100A9	34.32 (20.71. 47.73)	57.67 (51.06, 119.70)	0.034	

Table 7. The serum levels of S100A8 and S100A9 1 month after intervention among the two groups.

4. Discussion

The potential therapeutic benefits of ESWT for patients with incomplete RC tears have been previously investigated. In our prior prospective research, we observed that ESWT, when compared to a sham treatment, exhibited significant improvements in VAS, muscle power, CMS, and ROM in both the 6-month and 12-month post-intervention assessments. This underscores the therapeutic efficacy of ESWT in addressing incomplete RC tears associated with shoulder stiffness [12]. In Chou et al.'s retrospective study, ESWT yielded a satisfaction rate surpassing 50% among patients with incomplete RC tears. Additionally, 53.8% of athletes returned to their prior activity levels, a result comparable to surgery [31].

PRP is a concentrated solution of platelets that is rich in growth factors, showing the ability to facilitate angiogenesis, neuroprotection, neural regeneration, regulation of inflammation with broad therapeutic applications [17,32–42]. Comparative studies have shown the clinical advantages of PRP injection for patients with incomplete RC tears. Ahmed Shams et al. conducted a study comparing PRP and corticosteroid injections in 40 patients. After 12 weeks, they found a statistically significant advantage in the PRP group over the corticosteroid group in terms of VAS, American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons Standardized Shoulder Assessment Form (ASES), CMS, and Simple Shoulder Test (SST) [13]. Thanathep Tanpowpong et al. showed that PRP effectively reduces the size of supraspinatus tendon tears, surpassing corticosteroid [43]. Lutz von Wehren observed significant improvements in shoulder scores for both PRP and cortisone groups. Assessments at 12 weeks, including VAS, ASES, SST, and CMS, favored the PRP group [44]. Damjanov et al. showed that PRP significantly improved shoulder pain, surpassing glucocorticoid effects, with sustained benefits at 4 and 24 weeks. PRP patients also exhibited significantly greater CMS improvements at 24 weeks, and none reported adverse events, unlike the betamethasone group (n = 8) [45]. Aylin Sari et al. divided 129 patients into PRP, corticosteroid, prolotherapy, and lidocaine groups. In the PRP group at week 24, VAS and western Ontario rotator cuff (WORC) scores were notably lower than the corticosteroid group [46]. Cai et al. found significant differences in CMS, VAS, and ASES scores at 12 months in the SH (sodium hyaluronate) + PRP group. MRI results indicated a notable reduction in tear size, especially in the SH + PRP group. The study concludes that PRP injection effectively heals partial RC tears, with SH + PRP providing superior clinical outcomes compared to SH or PRP alone [47]. Collectively, these studies provide evidence that PRP surpasses other injection therapies as the preferred modality for treating incomplete RC tears.

The preceding studies underscore the therapeutic merits of ESWT and PRP as standalone treatments for incomplete RC tears. Our research extends this literature by revealing the added benefits of combining ESWT with PRP for patients with incomplete RC tears. Notably, 1 month post intervention, there were significant improvements in forward flexion (p = 0.033) and abduction (p = 0.015). Additionally, sustained enhancement in SROM (p < 0.001) was observed after 6 months of intervention, emphasizing the synergistic advantages of ESWT with PRP for shoulder ROM.

Besides improved shoulder ROM, we aim to elucidate the mechanistic underpinnings of this additional benefit. In our study, the distinct serum protein expression pattern among patients undergoing ESWT + PRP or PRP alone was detected through the iTRAQ assay one month post intervention. Reduced serum levels of S100A8 and S100A9 were confirmed through ELISA in patients undergoing ESWT + PRP. S100A8 and S100A9 are noteworthy alarmins—endogenous immune-activating proteins released into the extracellular milieu following tissue damage to initiate and augment inflammatory responses [48–51].

Both S100A8 and S100A9 exhibit chemotactic properties towards monocytes and are linked to myeloid cell maturation. They have the potential to induce both pro- and antiinflammatory effects by modulating the cytokine profile through interaction with pattern recognition receptors (PRRs). This chemotaxis and cytokine modulation are particularly prominent in the early stages of tendinopathy, establishing a mechanistic link between S100A8 and S100A9 proteins and RC tendinopathy development. Exposing primary human tenocytes to exogenous S100A8/A9 resulted in a significant increase in the release of IL-6, IL-8, CCL2, CCL20, and CXCL10 proteins. This implies that S100A8/S100A9 modulates the inflammatory profile through a positive feedback mechanism, involving increased recruitment of leukocytes and the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines from tenocytes, sustaining the inflammatory response in the early stages of tendinopathy [52]. Our research provides novel evidence indicating an association between supplementary ESWT and an anti-inflammatory effect, as evidenced by reduced systemic levels of S100A8/A9.

Our study has certain limitations. Firstly, not all of the markers of differential expression as determined by iTRAQ were quantified by ELISA. The decision to focus our investigation on proteins S100A8 and S100A9 was rooted in our review of the existing literature. Secondly, the present study did not establish a direct correlation between the serum levels of S100A8/S100A9 and the functional assessments. This is an avenue that warrants exploration in subsequent research. Thirdly, our study did not provide conclusive evidence of differential image improvement, which highlights the need for further inquiry in this domain. Fourthly, ultrasonic guidance was not utilized during the PRP injection, as unguided injections into the subacromial bursa are considered less optimal compared to ultrasound-guided procedures [53]. Fifthly, the specific mode of ESWT application was referenced in our previous publication, and whether it is possible to use stimuli of lower or higher intensity warrants further investigation. Finally, shoulder abduction and forward flexion may occur due to deltoid contraction. We cannot rule out the possibility that the noted enhancements in shoulder abduction and forward flexion might be attributed to the application of ESWT on the potential deltoid contracture site rather than the site of the RC partial tear. Despite these limitations, our study represents a pioneering effort in demonstrating the advantages of combining ESWT and PRP treatments over PRP alone. We have shed light on the distinct serum levels of protein S100A8 and protein S100A9 between the two groups. These findings offer clinicians valuable insight into the potential benefits of employing a combined approach of ESWT and PRP injection for the treatment of partial RC tears.

5. Conclusions

The combination of ESWT with PRP injection potentially transcends the advantages afforded by PRP injection monotherapy in the context of RC partial tear, as evidenced by the amelioration of clinical assessment parameters and the modulation of serum inflammatory markers. We hope that these data can prompt clinicians to consider the therapeutic effects of combining ESWT with PRP injection therapy for RC partial tears.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, S.-J.K. and J.-Y.K.; methodology, Y.-H.S. and S.-C.H.; software, P.-H.H. and C.-C.H. (Chia-Chun Hsia); validation, C.-Y.L., S.-H.C. and R.-W.W.; formal analysis, C.-C.H. (Chieh-Cheng Hsu) and Y.-C.L.; investigation, D.-Y.L., N.-E.K. and J.-F.C.; resources, J.-F.C.; data curation, Y.-C.L.; writing—original draft preparation, S.-J.K. and Y.-H.S.; writing—review and editing, J.-Y.K.; visualization, D.-Y.L., N.-E.K. and J.-F.C.; supervision, S.-J.K. and J.-Y.K.; project administration, Y.-H.S. and S.-C.H.; funding acquisition, S.-J.K. and J.-Y.K. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Ministry of Science and Technology, Taiwan (109-2314-B-039-018-MY3, 110-2314-B-182A-149-, 111-2314-B-182A-055-, 112-2314-B-182A-100-, and 112-2813-C-039-082-B); China Medical University Hospital, Taiwan (DMR-110-111, DMR-110-224, DMR-111-114, DMR-111-230, and DMR-112-219); China Medical University, Taiwan (CMU112-SR-73); and Kaohsiung Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Taiwan (CRRPG8J0071~3). **Institutional Review Board Statement:** This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Chang Gung Medical Foundation (protocol code: 202000068B0, date of approval: 2020/02/13).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in this study.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments: We thank the Genomics & Proteomics Core Laboratory, Department of Medical Research, Kaohsiung Chang Gung Memorial Hospital for technical support.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- Yamaura, K.; Mifune, Y.; Inui, A.; Nishimoto, H.; Mukohara, S.; Yoshikawa, T.; Shinohara, I.; Kato, T.; Furukawa, T.; Hoshino, Y.; et al. Sex Is Associated with the Success or Failure of Manipulation Alone for Joint Stiffness Associated with Rotator Cuff Repair. *J. Clin. Med.* 2022, 11, 7192. [CrossRef]
- Ko, J.-Y.; Chen, S.-H.; Wu, R.-W.; Wu, K.-T.; Hsu, C.-C.; Kuo, S.-J. Decreased Expression of Leptin among Patients with Shoulder Stiffness. *Life* 2022, 12, 1588. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Pogorzelski, J.; Rupp, M.-C.; Scheiderer, B.; Lacheta, L.; Schliemann, B.; Schanda, J.; Heuberer, P.; Schneider, M.; Hackl, M.; Aga Shoulder Committee-Rotator Cuff; et al. Management of Irreparable Posterosuperior Rotator Cuff Tears—A Current Concepts Review and Proposed Treatment Algorithm by the AGA Shoulder Committee. J. Pers. Med. 2023, 13, 191. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fieseler, G.; Laudner, K.; Cornelius, J.; Schulze, S.; Delank, K.-S.; Schwesig, R. Longitudinal Analysis of the ASES and Constant– Murley Scores, and the Internal Rotation/Shift and Jobe Tests following Arthroscopic Repair of Supraspinatus Lesions. J. Pers. Med. 2023, 13, 1304. [CrossRef]
- Zhao, J.; Luo, M.; Liang, G.; Wu, M.; Pan, J.; Zeng, L.-F.; Yang, W.; Liu, J. Risk Factors for Supraspinatus Tears: A Meta-analysis of Observational Studies. Orthop. J. Sports Med. 2021, 9. [CrossRef]
- Liu, J.; Dai, S.; Deng, H.; Qiu, D.; Liu, L.; Li, M.; Chen, Z.; Kang, J.; Tao, J. Evaluation of the prognostic value of the anatomical characteristics of the bony structures in the shoulder in bursal-sided partial-thickness rotator cuff tears. *Front. Public Health* 2023, 11, 1189003. [CrossRef]
- De Castro, R.L.B.; Antonio, B.P.; Giovannetti, G.A.; Annichino-Bizzacchi, J.M. Total Healing of a Partial Rupture of the Supraspinatus Tendon Using Barbotage Technique Associated with Platelet-Rich Plasma: A Randomized, Controlled, and Double-Blind Clinical Trial. *Biomedicines* 2023, 11, 1849. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Strauss, E.J.; Salata, M.J.; Kercher, J.; Barker, J.U.; McGill, K.; Bach, B.R., Jr.; Romeo, A.A.; Verma, N.N. The Arthroscopic Management of Partial-Thickness Rotator Cuff Tears: A Systematic Review of the Literature. *Arthroscopy* 2011, 27, 568–580. [CrossRef]
- 9. Popov, V.L.; Poliakov, A.M.; Pakhaliuk, V.I. In silico evaluation of the mechanical stimulation effect on the regenerative rehabilitation for the articular cartilage local defects. *Front. Med.* **2023**, *10*, 1134786. [CrossRef]
- 10. Notarnicola, A.; Ladisa, I.; Lanzilotta, P.; Bizzoca, D.; Covelli, I.; Bianchi, F.P.; Maccagnano, G.; Farì, G.; Moretti, B. Shock Waves and Therapeutic Exercise in Greater Trochanteric Pain Syndrome: A Prospective Randomized Clinical Trial with Cross-Over. *J. Pers. Med.* **2023**, *13*, 976. [CrossRef]
- 11. Simplicio, C.L.; Purita, J.; Murrell, W.; Santos, G.S.; dos Santos, R.G.; Lana, J. Extracorporeal shock wave therapy mechanisms in musculoskeletal regenerative medicine. *J. Clin. Orthop. Trauma* **2020**, *11*, S309–S318. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ko, J.-Y.; Siu, K.-K.; Wang, F.-S.; Wang, C.-J.; Chou, W.-Y.; Huang, C.-C.; Kuo, S.-J. The Therapeutic Effects of Extracorporeal Shock Wave Therapy (ESWT) on the Rotator Cuff Lesions with Shoulder Stiffness: A Prospective Randomized Study. *BioMed Res. Int.* 2020, 2020, 6501714. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Shams, A.; El-Sayed, M.; Gamal, O.; Ewes, W. Subacromial injection of autologous platelet-rich plasma versus corticosteroid for the treatment of symptomatic partial rotator cuff tears. *Eur. J. Orthop. Surg. Traumatol.* **2016**, *26*, 837–842. [CrossRef]
- Kuo, S.-J.; Siu, K.-K.; Wu, K.-T.; Ko, J.-Y.; Wang, F.-S. The Differential Systemic Biological Effects between Computer Navigation and Conventional Total Knee Arthroplasty (TKA) Surgeries: A Prospective Study. J. Pers. Med. 2022, 12, 1835. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Kuo, S.J.; Chou, W.Y.; Hsu, C.C.; Chang-Chien, G.P.; Lin, S.F.; Siu, K.K.; Tsai, T.C.; Ko, J.Y.; Sun, Y.C. Systemic effects of platelet-rich plasma local injection on serum and urinary anabolic metabolites: A prospective randomized study. *Chin. J. Physiol.* **2020**, *63*, 294–300. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Natali, S.; Screpis, D.; Patania, E.; De Berardinis, L.; Benoni, A.; Piovan, G.; Iacono, V.; Magnan, B.; Gigante, A.P.; Zorzi, C. Efficacy and Long-Term Outcomes of Intra-Articular Autologous Micro-Fragmented Adipose Tissue in Individuals with Glenohumeral Osteoarthritis: A 36-Month Follow-Up Study. J. Pers. Med. 2023, 13, 1309. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zaha, I.A.; Huniadi, A.; Bodog, F.; Seles, L.; Toma, M.C.; Maghiar, L.; Szulay-Bimbo, E.; Bodog, A.; Sachelarie, L.; Florea, M.; et al. Autologous Platelet-Rich Plasma (PRP) in Infertility—Infusion versus Injectable PRP. *J. Pers. Med.* 2023, *13*, 1676. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 18. Gregori, P.; Perricone, G.; Franceschetti, E.; Giurazza, G.; Papalia, G.F.; Zà, P.; Papalia, R. Allograft Prosthesis Composite (APC) for Proximal Humeral Bone Loss: Outcomes and Perspectives. *J. Pers. Med.* **2023**, *13*, 1301. [CrossRef]
- 19. Kanto, K.; Lähdeoja, T.; Paavola, M.; Aronen, P.; Järvinen, T.L.N.; Jokihaara, J.; Ardern, C.L.; Karjalainen, T.V.; Taimela, S. Minimal important difference and patient acceptable symptom state for pain, Constant-Murley score and Simple Shoulder Test in patients with subacromial pain syndrome. *BMC Med. Res. Methodol.* **2021**, *21*, 45. [CrossRef]
- 20. Wiese, S.; Reidegeld, K.A.; Meyer, H.E.; Warscheid, B. Protein labeling by iTRAQ: A new tool for quantitative mass spectrometry in proteome research. *Proteomics* 2007, *7*, 340–350. [CrossRef]
- 21. Omar, M.A.; Omran, M.M.; Farid, K.; Tabll, A.A.; Shahein, Y.E.; Emran, T.M.; Petrovic, A.; Lucic, N.R.; Smolic, R.; Kovac, T.; et al. Biomarkers for Hepatocellular Carcinoma: From Origin to Clinical Diagnosis. *Biomedicines* **2023**, *11*, 1852. [CrossRef]
- Yang, X.; Jiang, Z.; He, J.; Shen, L. iTRAQ-Based Quantitative Proteomics Unveils Protein Dynamics in the Root of Solanum melongena L. under Waterlogging Stress Conditions. *Life* 2023, 13, 1399. [CrossRef]
- Chiu, Y.-K.; Yin, T.; Lee, Y.-T.; Chen, S.-J.; Wang, Y.-C.; Ma, K.-H. Proteomic Profiling of Outer Membrane Vesicles Released by Escherichia coli LPS Mutants Defective in Heptose Biosynthesis. J. Pers. Med. 2022, 12, 1301. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Kusama, K.; Bai, R.; Matsuno, Y.; Ideta, A.; Sakurai, T.; Nagaoka, K.; Hori, M.; Imakawa, K. Characterization of Serum Metabolome and Proteome Profiles Identifies SNX5 Specific for Pregnancy Failure in Holstein Heifers. *Life* 2022, *12*, 309. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Weng, K.-P.; Chien, K.-J.; Huang, S.-H.; Huang, L.-H.; Lin, P.-H.; Lin, Y.; Chang, W.-H.; Chen, C.-Y.; Li, S.-C. iTRAQ Proteomics Identified the Potential Biomarkers of Coronary Artery Lesion in Kawasaki Disease and In Vitro Studies Demonstrated That S100A4 Treatment Made HCAECs More Susceptible to Neutrophil Infiltration. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2022, 23, 12770. [CrossRef]
- 26. Unwin, R.D. Quantification of proteins by iTRAQ. Methods Mol. Biol. 2010, 658, 205–215. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Li, S.-C.; Tsai, K.-W.; Huang, L.-H.; Weng, K.-P.; Chien, K.-J.; Lin, Y.; Tu, C.-Y.; Lin, P.-H. Serum proteins may facilitate the identification of Kawasaki disease and promote in vitro neutrophil infiltration. *Sci. Rep.* **2020**, *10*, 15645. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kuo, S.-J.; Chen, C.-L.; Chen, S.-H.; Ko, J.-Y. Changes in Serum Bone Metabolism Markers after Living Donor Liver Transplantation (LDLT) and Their Association with Fracture Occurrences. *Life* 2023, *13*, 1438. [CrossRef]
- Van Lent, P.L.; Grevers, L.; Blom, A.B.; Sloetjes, A.; Mort, J.S.; Vogl, T.; Nacken, W.; van den Berg, W.B.; Roth, J. Myeloid-related proteins S100A8/S100A9 regulate joint inflammation and cartilage destruction during antigen-induced arthritis. *Ann. Rheum. Dis.* 2008, 67, 1750–1758. [CrossRef]
- Averill, M.M.; Kerkhoff, C.; Bornfeldt, K.E. S100A8 and S100A9 in Cardiovascular Biology and Disease. *Arter. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* 2012, 32, 223–229. [CrossRef]
- Chou, W.-Y.; Wang, C.-J.; Wu, K.-T.; Yang, Y.-J.; Cheng, J.-H.; Wang, S.-W. Comparative outcomes of extracorporeal shockwave therapy for shoulder tendinitis or partial tears of the rotator cuff in athletes and non-athletes: Retrospective study. *Int. J. Surg.* 2018, 51, 184–190. [CrossRef]
- Dankova, I.; Pyrgidis, N.; Tishukov, M.; Georgiadou, E.; Nigdelis, M.P.; Solomayer, E.-F.; Marcon, J.; Stief, C.G.; Hatzichristou, D. Efficacy and Safety of Platelet-Rich Plasma Injections for the Treatment of Female Sexual Dysfunction and Stress Urinary Incontinence: A Systematic Review. *Biomedicines* 2023, *11*, 2919. [CrossRef]
- Everts, P.A.; Lana, J.F.; Onishi, K.; Buford, D.; Peng, J.; Mahmood, A.; Fonseca, L.F.; van Zundert, A.; Podesta, L. Angiogenesis and Tissue Repair Depend on Platelet Dosing and Bioformulation Strategies Following Orthobiological Platelet-Rich Plasma Procedures: A Narrative Review. *Biomedicines* 2023, 11, 1922. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Giaccari, L.G.; Coppolino, F.; Aurilio, C.; Pace, M.C.; Passavanti, M.B.; Pota, V.; Alicino, D.; Pulito, G.; Sansone, P. Pulsed Radiofrequency and Platelet Rich Plasma in Degenerative Joint Arthritis: Two Case Reports and Literature Analyses. *Life* 2023, *13*, 1334. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, S.; Xing, F.; Yan, T.; Zhang, S.; Chen, F. Multiple Injections of Platelet-Rich Plasma Versus Hyaluronic Acid for Knee Osteoarthritis: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Current Evidence in Randomized Controlled Trials. J. Pers. Med. 2023, 13, 429. [CrossRef]
- Ivković, A.; Glavčić, M.; Vuletić, F.; Janković, S. Core Decompression Combined with Intraosseous Autologous Conditioned Plasma Injections Decreases Pain and Improves Function in Patients with Symptomatic Knee Bone Marrow Lesions. *Biomedicines* 2023, 11, 1799. [CrossRef]
- 37. Gupta, A.; Jeyaraman, M.; Potty, A.G. Leukocyte-Rich vs. Leukocyte-Poor Platelet-Rich Plasma for the Treatment of Knee Osteoarthritis. *Biomedicines* 2023, *11*, 141. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 38. Vali, S.; Saso, S.; Bracewell Milnes, T.; Nicopoullos, J.; Thum, M.-Y.; Smith, J.R.; Jones, B.P. The Clinical Application of Platelet-Rich Plasma in the Female Reproductive System: A Narrative Review. *Life* **2023**, *13*, 2348. [CrossRef]
- 39. Machado, E.S.; Soares, F.P.; Vianna de Abreu, E.; de Souza, T.A.d.C.; Meves, R.; Grohs, H.; Ambach, M.A.; Navani, A.; de Castro, R.B.; Pozza, D.H.; et al. Systematic Review of Platelet-Rich Plasma for Low Back Pain. *Biomedicines* **2023**, *11*, 2404. [CrossRef]
- Saginova, D.; Tashmetov, E.; Kamyshanskiy, Y.; Tuleubayev, B.; Rimashevskiy, D. Evaluation of Bone Regenerative Capacity in Rabbit Femoral Defect Using Thermally Disinfected Bone Human Femoral Head Combined with Platelet-Rich Plasma, Recombinant Human Bone Morphogenetic Protein 2, and Zoledronic Acid. *Biomedicines* 2023, 11, 1729. [CrossRef]
- Vladulescu, D.; Scurtu, L.G.; Simionescu, A.A.; Scurtu, F.; Popescu, M.I.; Simionescu, O. Platelet-Rich Plasma (PRP) in Dermatology: Cellular and Molecular Mechanisms of Action. *Biomedicines* 2024, 12, 7. [CrossRef]

- Costa, F.R.R.; Santos, M.d.S.d.S.; Martins, R.A.A.; Costa, C.B.B.; Hamdan, P.C.C.; Silva, M.B.D.B.; Azzini, G.O.M.O.M.; Pires, L.; Menegassi, Z.; Santos, G.S.S.; et al. The Synergistic Effects of Hyaluronic Acid and Platelet-Rich Plasma for Patellar Chondropathy. *Biomedicines* 2024, 12, 6. [CrossRef]
- Tanpowpong, T.; Thepsoparn, M.; Numkarunarunrote, N.; Itthipanichpong, T.; Limskul, D.; Thanphraisan, P. Effects of Platelet-Rich Plasma in Tear Size Reduction in Partial-Thickness Tear of the Supraspinatus Tendon Compared to Corticosteroids Injection. Sports Med. Open 2023, 9, 11. [CrossRef]
- 44. Von Wehren, L.; Blanke, F.; Todorov, A.; Heisterbach, P.; Sailer, J.; Majewski, M. The effect of subacromial injections of autologous conditioned plasma versus cortisone for the treatment of symptomatic partial rotator cuff tears. *Knee Surg. Sports Traumatol. Arthrosc.* **2016**, *24*, 3787–3792. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Damjanov, N.; Barac, B.; Colic, J.; Stevanovic, V.; Zekovic, A.; Tulic, G. The efficacy and safety of autologous conditioned serum (ACS) injections compared with betamethasone and placebo injections in the treatment of chronic shoulder joint pain due to supraspinatus tendinopathy: A prospective, randomized, double-blind, controlled study. *Med. Ultrason.* 2018, 20, 335–341. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Sari, A.; Eroglu, A. Comparison of ultrasound-guided platelet-rich plasma, prolotherapy, and corticosteroid injections in rotator cuff lesions. *J. Back Musculoskelet. Rehabil.* 2020, 33, 387–396. [CrossRef]
- Cai, Y.U.; Sun, Z.; Liao, B.; Song, Z.; Xiao, T.; Zhu, P. Sodium Hyaluronate and Platelet-Rich Plasma for Partial-Thickness Rotator Cuff Tears. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 2019, 51, 227–233. [CrossRef]
- 48. Oppenheim, J.J.; Yang, D. Alarmins: Chemotactic activators of immune responses. *Curr. Opin. Immunol.* 2005, 17, 359–365. [CrossRef]
- 49. Yang, D.; Han, Z.; Oppenheim, J.J. Alarmins and immunity. Immunol. Rev. 2017, 280, 41–56. [CrossRef]
- 50. Wolf, M.; Joseph, R.; Austermann, J.; Scharrnbeck-Davis, C.; Hermann, S.; Roth, J.; Vogl, T. S100A8/S100A9 Integrates F-Actin and Microtubule Dynamics to Prevent Uncontrolled Extravasation of Leukocytes. *Biomedicines* **2023**, *11*, 835. [CrossRef]
- 51. Furci, F.; Murdaca, G.; Pelaia, C.; Imbalzano, E.; Pelaia, G.; Caminati, M.; Allegra, A.; Senna, G.; Gangemi, S. TSLP and HMGB1: Inflammatory Targets and Potential Biomarkers for Precision Medicine in Asthma and COPD. *Biomedicines* **2023**, *11*, 437. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Crowe, L.A.N.; McLean, M.; Kitson, S.M.; Melchor, E.G.; Patommel, K.; Cao, H.M.; Reilly, J.H.; Leach, W.J.; Rooney, B.P.; Spencer, S.J.; et al. S100A8 & S100A9: Alarmin mediated inflammation in tendinopathy. *Sci. Rep.* 2019, *9*, 1463. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 53. Aly, A.-R.; Rajasekaran, S.; Ashworth, N. Ultrasound-guided shoulder girdle injections are more accurate and more effective than landmark-guided injections: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br. J. Sports Med.* **2015**, *49*, 1042–1049. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.